

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,914	07/03/2003	Eyal Talor	CS-120	5597
7590 08/19/2004 SHERMAN & SHALLOWAY			EXAMINER	
			NICKOL, GARY B	
413 North Wash Alexandria, VA	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1642	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comme	10/611,914	TALOR, EYAL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	arch 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-23 and 28-41 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 24-27 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	withdrawn from consideration				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	· ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	[7]				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/611,914

Art Unit: 1642

Re: Talor, E.

Date of priority: 07/03/2003

The Election filed 03/09/04 in response to the Office Action of 02/27/04 is acknowledged and has been entered.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group III, claims 24-27 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Groups 3-17 are all drawn to the same composition as claims 24-41 and further contain the limitations of claim 24. Applicants further note that restrictions should never be made where the claims of an application define the same essential characteristics of a dingle disclose embodiment of the invention. Applicants further note that the additional rule that claims alleged to be drawn to different species must contain mutually exclusive limitations defining those allegedly different species. These arguments have been carefully considered but are not found persuasive. The compositions of cytokines were held to be independent and or distinct (not species of each other) for the reasons of record set forth previously. And, though, the limitations of claim 24 are recited throughout, the inclusion of different molecule imparts functional and chemical distinctness providing for independent compositions.

Further, although the inventions are classified somewhat similarly, the classification of subject matter is merely one indication of the burdensome nature of the search involved. The literature search, particularly relevant in this art, is not coextensive and is much more important in evaluating the burden of search. Different searches and issues are involved in the examination of each group. For these reasons the restriction requirement is deemed to be proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 1642

Claims 24-27 currently under consideration.

Claims 1-23 and 28-41 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner under 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Specification

The amendment filed 03-09-04 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The amendments to paragraphs 15 and 26 of the specification (those that specifically alter numerical ranges) are not supported by the specification as originally filed. With the exception of the apparent typographical error wherein applicants proposed to correct recitation of "41" to "411", the remaining changes to the ratios are not supported in the specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Objections

Claims 25 and 27 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The TNF-α to IL-2 range of 7.7 to 11.3 does not include the limitations of the independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hadden, J. (US Patent No. 5,698,194, December 1997, IDS).

US 5698194 ('194) teaches a serum-free and mitogen free (column 5, lines 1-5) cytokine mixture *comprising* specific ratios of cytokines that encompass the following claimed ranges:

IL-1 β to IL-2 at a ratio range of 0.4 - 1.5, alternatively 0.6 to 1.5 (Claim 25,27)

TNF- α to IL-2 at a ratio range of 3.2 – 10.9, alternatively 7.7 to 11.3

IFN- γ to IL-2 at a ratio range of 1.5 – 10.9, alternatively 4.9 to 7.1

GM-CSF to IL-2 at a ratio range of 2.2 - 4.8, alternatively 3.5 to 4.5.

For example, (column 7, line 23) GM-CSF ranges from 10-1500 pg/ml and IL-2 ranges from 100-500 units/ml. Thus, if the average amount of IL-2 is approx. 300 units/ml, then the ratio of GM-CSF to IL-2 (10/300 and 1500/300) is .033 to 5 which encompasses the claimed ranges. Assuming the above, the prior art teaches the following ranges:

IL-1 to IL-2 is .033 to 6.7

TNF- α to IL-2 is .167 to 50

IFN- γ to IL-2 is .167 to 50

Application/Control Number: 10/611,914

Art Unit: 1642

GM-CSF to IL-2 is .033 to 5

The reference further teaches pharmaceutical compositions of the above cytokine mixtures (column 10, line 10). Absent evidence to the contrary, it is assumed for examination purposes that the IL-1 used by the prior art was equivalent to and or comprised equivalent ratios of IL-1 β (see Applicant's comments, "Discussion of Newly Cited References", 03/09/04, page 7, line 7).

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30-5:30; alternate Fri., 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1642

Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1642

GBN

August 12, 2004

GARY NICKOL PRIMARY EXAMINER